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## Background

- Fractures of the base of the proximal phalanx are among the most common finger fractures in children (1).
- Immobilization with or without a closed reduction of the digit for 3-4 weeks can lead to good functional results.


## Purpose

- The purpose of this retrospective study is to evaluate outcomes of proximal phalanx base fractures in skeletally immature patients at final follow up.


## Methods

- A retrospective review of skeletally immature patients under 18 years of age treated for a proximal phalanx base fracture between years 20022019. The variables collected included: demographics, initial and final angulation and displacement on the lateral and anteroposterior views (2), treatment group, malunions, Salter Harris classification, and time union.
- Patients with less than 3-weeks follow up, inadequate medical record details, or missing radiographs were excluded.

Statistical Methods:

- Between group comparisons were analyzed using a chi-squared test. Radiographic differences were evaluated using the Kruskal-Wallis test.
- Within group differences were evaluated using a paired t-test.

Results
Table 1 Clinical and Demographic Characteristics by Treatment Group

| Variable | Non-operative <br> $\mathrm{n}=479$ | Reduction <br> $\mathrm{n}=151$ | Operative <br> $\mathrm{n}=14$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age | $10.9(3.5)$ | $10.8(3.4)$ | $8.4(5.8)$ | 0.0833 |
| Sex (\% Male) | $67.7 \%$ | $60.2 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | 0.193 |
| Finger Injured: Small | $50.4 \%$ | $64.2 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ |  |
| Ring | $14.3 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ |  |
| Middle | $9.0 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | 0.001 |
| Index | $7.1 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |  |
| Thumb | $19.2 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| Malrotations | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0.255 |
| Angulation Initial AP (deg.) | $2(7)$ | $16(18)$ | $15.1(21)$ | 0.0001 |
| Angulation Final AP (deg.) | $2(5)$ | $4(7.1)$ | $1.5(3)$ | 0.0001 |
| Displacement Initial AP (mm) | $0(0.15)$ | $0.72(1.6)$ | $1.6(2.5)$ | 0.0001 |
| Salter Harris Type: SH II | $85.2 \%$ | $90.7 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ |  |
| SH Other | $3.5 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | 0.001 |
| Extraphyseal | $11.3 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  |



Figure 1: Proximal phalanx base fracture of the fifth digit. The angulation is 41 degrees with 5.19 mm of displacement.

Table 2 Intra-Subject Radiographic Mean Comparisons

| Group | Initial <br> Coronal <br> Plane <br> Deformity <br> (deg.) | Final <br> Coronal <br> Plane <br> Deformity <br> (deg.) | Difference mm (95\%Cl) | P<0.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonoperative | 4.6 (5.6) | 3.3 (3.9) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.1 \\ & (0.8,3.8) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0001 |
| Closed Reduction | 17.3 (11.9) | 5.6 (5.0) | $\begin{aligned} & 11.7 \\ & (10.0,13.4 \end{aligned}$ | 0.0001 |
| Surgery | 20.9 (17.2) | 2.6 (3.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 18.3 \\ & (7.7,28.9) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0073 |
|  | Initial Sagittal <br> Plane <br> Deformity <br> (deg.) | Final Sagittal <br> Plane <br> Deformity <br> (deg.) | Difference mm (95\%CI) | $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |
| Nonoperative | 3.8 (5.0) | 3.1 (4.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.72 \\ & (0.33,3.6) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0013 |
| Closed Reduction | 11.2 (10.6) | 4.9 (5.9) | $\begin{aligned} & 6.3 \\ & (4.6,8.1) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0001 |
| Surgery | 18.1 (15.4) | 4.1 (3.9) | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0 \\ & (3.4,24.6) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0309 |

## Conclusions

- A limited number of proximal phalanx base fractures require surgical management.
- The great majority can be treated with closed reduction in the emergency room or clinical setting without sedation, resulting in equivalent outcomes of minimal angular deformity.
- Current treatment methods have led to good results with correction of angular deformity in both the sagittal and coronal planes at final follow-up.
- Evaluation of radiographic parameters typically lead to appropriate treatment decisions with resolution of deformities and minimal malrotation.
- The malrotation rate was $0.93 \%$ and not associated with either treatment group.


## Acknowledgements

- This project was supported by NIH/NCRR Colorado CTSI Grant Number UL1 RR025780. Its contents are the authors' sole responsibility and do not necessarily represent official NIH views.


## References

1. Abzug JM, Dua K, Bauer AD, et al. Pediatric phalanx fractAbzug JM, Dua K, Bauer AD, et al Pediatric phalanx fractures.
J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2016;24:e174-e183.
2. Vonlanthen J, Weber DM, Seiler M. Nonarticular Base and Shaft Fractures of Children's Fingers: Are Follow-up X-rays Needed? Retrospective Study of Conservatively Treated Proximal and Middle Phalangeal Fractures. J Pediatr Orthop. January 2019. doi:10.1097/BPO.0000000000001335
